

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/789,666	02/27/2004	Walton Fong	HSJ9-2003-0210US1	7551	
74216 The Patent Lav	7590 02/20/200 w Office of Larry Guern	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 7202	47	SRIRAMAN, NIKHIL			
San Jose, CA	95172		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3664		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			02/20/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/789,666	FONG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
NIKHIL SRIRAMAN	3664		

	NIKHIL SRIRAMAN	3664					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 28 January 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.					
∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 or CR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In</li> </ul>							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.138(a). The date of the wheen field is the date for purposes of determining the period of a set under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sh	nsion and the corresponding amount ortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(b)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(c).</li> </ol>							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a co NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	rresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121	. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).		•					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5.9.10.12-27 and 31.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but I because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and s was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ove showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary a	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but on the see Note Below.		condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. ☐ Other:							
/KHOI TRAN/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3664	/Nikhil Sriraman/						

REGARDING ITEM 11. ABOVE, the request for reconsideration does not place the application in condition for allowance. Applicant argues the instant case is in condition for allowance by emphasizing that the limitation "downloading database information are augmented by said additional commentary and images as desired" as recited in claim 1 is not disclosed by Safai. Examiner respectfully disagress. It is true that Safai discloses commentary in the form sound flies to accompany digital photos and sending such information via a network but does not go so far as to disclose this limitation in its entirety. However, Examiner notes that every aspect of the aforected limitation is disclosed in Waibel with the exception of the commentary and sound flies. Thus, Examiner's position is not that Safai discloses this limitation, but that it would have been obvious to modify the system disclosing the augmentation of dowloaded database information by images as disclosed by Waibel to include audio commentary that acompanies pictures as disclosed by Safai in order to have audio navigation. Accordingly, Applicant's arguments are found unpersuasive and the claims remain rejector.